<u>REMARKS</u>

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claim 13 has been amended for clarity.

Applicants believe that the above changes answer the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 112, paragraph 2, rejection of claim 13, and respectfully request withdrawal thereof.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-9 and 11-25 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent 6,377,518.

In response thereto, enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer referencing U.S. Patent 6,377,518.

In view of the above, Applicants believe that this application, containing claims 1-9 and 11-25, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by /Edward W. Goodman/ Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613 Attorney Tel.: 914-333-9611